

Large-scale geographic patterns of diversity and community structure of pelagic crustacean zooplankton in Canadian lakes

Bernadette Pinel-Alloul^{1,2,6}*, Adrien André^{1,4}, Pierre Legendre^{1,2,6}, Jeffrey A. Cardille^{1,3}**, Kasimierz Patalas⁵ and Alex Salki⁵

¹GRIL, Groupe de Recherche Interuniversitaire en Limnologie et en Environnement Aquatique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, QC, Canada, H3C 3J7, ²Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, QC, Canada, H3C 3J7, ³Département de géographie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, QC, Canada, H3C 3J7, ⁴Département des sciences et génie de l'environnement, Faculté des sciences, Université de Liège, 15 Allée du 6 août, B-4000, Liège, Belgique, ⁵retired, formerly of Freshwater Institute, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, MA, Canada R3T 2N6, ⁶CSBQ, Centre de la Science de la Biodiversité du Ouébec

*Correspondence: Bernadette Pinel-Alloul, GRIL, Groupe de recherche Interuniversitaire en Limnologie et en Environnement Aquatique, Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, (QC), Canada, H3C 3J7. E-mail: bernadette.pinel-alloul@umontreal.ca **Present address: Jeffrey A. Cardille, Natural Resource Sciences and McGill School of Environment, McGill University, 21111 Lakeshore Road, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, (QC), Canada, H9X 3V9. E-mail: jeffrey.cardill@mcgill.ca

ABSTRACT

Aim We tested the energy and metabolic theories for explaining diversity patterns of crustacean zooplankton in Canadian lakes, and evaluated the influence of regional and local environments on community structure.

Location The 1665 studied lakes are distributed across Canada in 47 ecoprovinces.

Methods Our database included the occurrence of 83 pelagic crustacean species. The regional species richness in each ecoprovince was estimated using the average local species richness per lake and the first-order jackknife diversity index. Using a principal component plot and forward selection in a multiple regression we identified the most important predictors of regional species richness estimates. We tested the predictions of the species richness-energy hypothesis using climatic variables at regional scale, and of the metabolic theory using the inverse of air temperature. To evaluate the influence of regional and local environmental drivers, we carried out a redundancy analysis between crustacean species occurrences and regional climate and lake environmental factors on a subset of 458 lakes.

Results Estimates of pelagic crustacean species richness in Canadian ecoprovinces varied from 3 to 10 species per lake (average local species richness) or 8 to 52 species per ecoprovince (Jackknife diversity index). Our study fully supports the species richness-energy hypothesis and partially the metabolic theory. Mean daily global solar radiation was the most important regional predictor, explaining 51% of the variation in the regional species richness among ecoprovinces. Together, regional climate and local lake environment accounted for 31% of the total variation in community structure. Regional-scale energy variables accounted for 24% of the total explained variation, whereas local-scale lake conditions had less influence (2%).

Main conclusions The richness-energy theory explains diversity patterns of freshwater crustacean zooplankton in Canadian ecoprovinces. Solar radiation is the best predictor explaining regional species richness in ecoprovinces and community structure of pelagic crustaceans in Canadian lakes.

Keywords

Canada, community structure, continental scale, diversity, ecoprovinces, lakes, Large-scale patterns, pelagic crustacean zooplankton.

INTRODUCTION

Since the eighteenth century, species distribution patterns have been of primary interest in the fields of biogeography, evolutionary biology, and ecology. Exploring how and why species are currently distributed in their geographical range are two fundamental issues in ecology and biogeography (Gaston, 2000; Lomolino et al., 2010). Perhaps the most interesting property of species diversity is its organization through space or beta diversity (Whittaker et al., 2001; Legendre et al., 2005). Beta diversity is a key concept for understanding the function of ecosystems, for the conservation of biodiversity, and for ecosystem management (Legendre et al., 2005). It can tell us which species are habitat generalists or specialists, which ones present similar or different competitive ability, and how species composition varies between sites or regions in response to environmental changes (Tuomisto & Ruokolainen, 2006). Thus, understanding the mechanisms controlling spatial patterns in species richness and community structure will help efforts to conserve biodiversity and functions of ecosystems in the face of climate change and increased human disturbances.

The multiple meanings of beta diversity have been discussed recently (Anderson *et al.*, 2011; Legendre & Legendre, 2012). Beta diversity studies can focus on two aspects of community structure. The first one is *turnover*, or the change in community composition between adjacent sampling units, explored by sampling along a spatial, temporal, or environmental gradient. The second is a non-directional approach to the study of community variation through space; it does not refer to any specific gradient but centres on the *variation in community composition among the study units*. In the present paper, we focused on the second approach, where spatial variation in species richness and community structure among lakes at the scale of Canadian ecoprovinces (beta diversity) will be analysed (in the statistical sense) through linear models involving regional and local environmental variables.

Biologists have studied large-scale diversity patterns in macroorganisms for centuries, leading to many insights on the biogeography of terrestrial species (Allen et al., 2002; Hawkins et al., 2003a, 2007a). In recent decades, large-scale patterns of beta diversity have been widely assessed for trees and plants (Jiménez et al., 2009; Blach-Overgaard et al., 2010), mammals and birds (Badgley & Fox, 2000; Hawkins et al., 2003b; Melo et al., 2009), and insects (Kerr & Currie, 1999). Many hypotheses have been proposed to explain large-scale diversity patterns of terrestrial species. For decades, the richness-energy hypothesis has provided a strong explanation related to productivity-diversity relationships (Chase & Ryberg, 2004). Energy (temperature or solar radiation) or water-energy (precipitation or evapotranspiration) variables typically drive diversity patterns of terrestrial organisms (Hawkins et al., 2003a; Currie et al., 2004). Areas with higher energy and water inputs are able to support higher species richness because productivity is strongly affected by the quantity of energy and water coming into terrestrial ecosystems. The spatial heterogeneity hypothesis can provide a supplemental explanation for plants for which diversity patterns also reflect heterogeneity in habitat and topography (Jiménez et al., 2009). Another important theory is the physiologically-oriented metabolic theory (Allen et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2004; Hawkins et al., 2007a,b). According to this theory, large-scale diversity patterns result from the dependence of the metabolism of terrestrial ectotherms to the ambient solar radiation that controls their body temperature. Because species diversity of ectotherms is strongly influenced by metabolic processes, much of the variation in species diversity is due to air temperature, and higher species richness is expected in warmer areas. This hypothesis predicts that log-transformed species richness is linearly associated to the inverse of annual air temperature, and that the slope of the relationship varies between -0.55 and -0.75. This theory has received support from many studies conducted in terrestrial ecosystems (Algar et al., 2007), though it has also been criticized (Hawkins et al., 2007b).

In contrast to macroorganisms, the field of microbial biogeography is currently immature (Fierer, 2008). There is still debate as to whether microorganisms also exhibit biogeographical patterns, and whether established ecological theory can explain spatial diversity patterns of microbial communities (Fontaneto et al., 2006; Martiny et al., 2006). Microorganisms are expected to show weak geographic variation in diversity compared to macroorganisms because of their small size, high abundance, fast population growth and higher dispersal rates. However, recent reviews have shown that spatial diversity patterns do exist for free-living microorganisms in soil and waters (Martiny et al., 2006; Fontaneto, 2011). Strong biogeographic patterns in plankton biodiversity were observed at global scale in Northern America (Vyverman et al., 2007; Stomp et al., 2011) and in Europe (Hessen et al., 2006, 2007; Ptacnik et al., 2010); they were related to environmental gradients in productivity, habitat area and temperature as observed for terrestrial macroorganisms. However, there is no consensus on the importance of the species-richness theory for the regulation of diversity patterns of microorganisms in aquatic systems, where the water environment can buffer the effects of temperature and solar radiation. Air temperature and energy-related factors were found the major determinants of large-scale latitudinal pattern of crustacean species richness in Norwegian lakes (Hessen et al., 2007) and of copepods in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Rombouts et al., 2009). However, Stomp et al. (2011) did not find a positive effect of water temperature on large-scale diversity patterns of freshwater phytoplankton. Also, the metabolic theory is not universally well supported in aquatic systems. It has little predictive power for the metabolism of lacustrine plankton (De Castro & Gaedke, 2008), and it weakly explains zooplankton species richness pattern in Norwegian lakes (Hessen et al., 2007). Support to the spatial heterogeneity theory came from studies on zooplankton diversity patterns, which are driven by multiple regional and local processes (the multiple force hypothesis) acting differently across spatial scales (Pinel-Alloul, 1995; Pinel-Alloul et al., 1995; Pinel-Alloul & Ghadouani, 2007). However, it is difficult to disentangle the effects of energy-related variables from that of local environmental variables, because they are all correlated to



Figure 1 Distribution of the 1665 lakes used for describing lake crustacean diversity pattern across Canadian ecoprovinces. Colors of ecoprovinces are ranged according to increasing regional species richness based on the Jackknife diversity index. The localisation of the 458 lakes subset are indicated by light blue dots. Blank color (/): ecoprovinces having 5 or less than 5 sampled lakes.

geographical gradients. A better prediction of the patterns of aquatic biodiversity and its environmental drivers is a fundamental issue for ecologists and may be the most important scientific challenge to face in the twenty-first century (Willig & Bloch, 2006). This is especially important given current concerns about the loss of biodiversity in freshwater ecosystems due to the multiple stressors of climate changes, watershed land use, alteration of nutrient cycles, invasion of exotic species, and overexploitation of halieutic resources. Our ability to detect the effects of those stressors on biodiversity is often low, in part because of poor knowledge of baseline data on biodiversity patterns and their environmental drivers.

In Canada, lakes are a dominant feature of the landscape, but knowledge of biodiversity of freshwater microorganisms and its environmental control is still in its infancy. Our study provides the first comprehensive model relating diversity patterns of pelagic crustaceans across Canada to environmental gradients along a wide range of physiographic, climatic and lake environments. The aims of the study are fourfold: (1) document the spatial pattern of diversity, community structure and species distribution of lake crustacean zooplankton in Canadian ecoprovinces, (2) test the main predictions of the diversity-energy and metabolic ecological theories for largescale diversity patterns of freshwater microorganisms, (3) determine the environmental drivers of spatial variation in crustacean diversity at regional scale across Canadian ecoprovinces, and (4) evaluate the relative influence of regional climatic features and local lake environmental factors on crustacean community structure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites, zooplankton sampling, and database

A long-term sampling program (1961–1991) carried out by the Freshwater Institute of Fisheries and Oceans Canada and an extensive literature review (1891–1990) provided a large database of crustacean species occurrence in the pelagic zone of nearly 2000 lakes across the entire mainland of Canada (42–80° N and 52–139° W) (Patalas *et al.*, 1994). The studied lakes are distributed across the 15 Canadian terrestrial ecozones and in 47 of Canada's 53 ecoprovinces (Fig. 1). Ecozones and ecoprovinces represent high- and intermediate-level divisions of the Canadian land mass according to climatic and vegetation patterns (Marshall & Schut, 1999). They are useful geographic units for general national reporting (http://atlas.nrcan.gc.ca) and for placing Canada's ecosystem diversity assessment in an ecologically meaningful context (McMahon *et al.*, 2004).

The number of lakes sampled during the long-term field survey varied widely among ecoprovinces, from 326 lakes in the Southern Boreal Shield to a single lake in the Sverdrup Islands in the Northern Arctic, and the Whale River Lowland in the Taiga Shield (Appendix S1). Zooplankton sampling took place during mid-summer near the centre of each lake; per-lake sampling effort ranged from a single site in small lakes to 30 to 50 sites in large lakes. Zooplankton was collected with a Wisconsin plankton net (25 cm in diameter, mesh size of 53–77 μ m) by vertical hauls from the lake bottom to the surface, or from a depth of 50 m in the deepest lakes. Zooplankton were preserved in 4%

Table 1 Minimum, maximum, median Minimum Maximum Median Mean and mean values of regional species richness estimates (total number of Total number of species 7 44 29 species, averagelocal species richness, Average local species richness 3 10 5 Jackknife diversity index) and Jackknife diversity index 8 52 36 environmental descriptors in 35 Ecoprovince area (km² 10⁴) 1.9 62.6 15.8 ecoprovinces across Canada (n = 1665Number of lakes 6 326 32 lakes) Growing season length (day) 19.4 258.8 163.1 144.8 Growing degree days above 10 °C 1199.9 423.2 435.5 0.0 Effective growing degree days above 5 °C 1042.6 7.6 2133.2 1117.1 Mean elevation (m) 36.1 1624.9 349.2 499.2

Total annual precipitation (mm)

Mean daily global solar radiation

Mean duration of bright sunshine (hrs)

Minimum annual air temperature (°C)*

Maximum annual air temperature (°C)*

Annual potential evapotranspiration**

Mean annual air temperature (°C)*

Mean annual vapour pressure

(megajoules/m2/day)

*Over the entire year.

**Penman method.

Longitude

Latitude

formalin, and analysed for species identification (see Patalas, 1990; Patalas et al., 1994).

Given the opportunistic nature of the survey and changing technology over the sampling period, we encountered a considerable range of precision and accuracy for lake positional data. The locations of lakes sampled during the earlier decades in the survey were not reported clearly whereas those sampled during the later decades were referenced with relatively clear positional information. Thus, we applied modern techniques to determine the location of each lake in the data set, as accurately as possible. Using their descriptions in the original 2000-lake data set, the GeoNames database (http://www.geonames.org) to convert text descriptions to potential latitude-longitude positions, and Google Earth (http://earth.google.com) to verify and choose among proposed locations, we relocated 1665 of the lakes with enough precision to be included in the analysis.

Regional and local environmental data

Regional environmental data on climate and precipitation were collected for the 1951-1980 period from the Ecological Framework of Canada website (http://ecozones.ca), and from the Climate Atlas of Canada (Environment Canada, 1986; Phillips, 1990). The selected regional environmental descriptors were the growing season length in days, the number of growing days above 10 °C, the effective growing degree days above 5 °C, the mean elevation, the total annual precipitation, the mean daily global solar radiation, the mean duration of bright sunshine, the daily air temperatures (mean, minimum, maximum) estimated over the entire year, the mean annual vapour pressure, the annual potential evapotranspiration, and the ecoprovince area (Table 1). Using ArcGIS (ESRI software, 2009), we estimated the values of regional environmental descriptors for each lake by intersecting them with the sampled points in the lakezooplankton database. We also calculated the area-weighted mean for each regional environmental descriptor in each ecoprovince using the values in each polygon.

Our lake survey covered a wide range of regional climatic conditions across most of the Canadian ecoprovinces (Table 1). In addition to regional descriptors, we used previous reports (Salki & Patalas, unpublished data) to obtain local descriptors of the lake environments (July air temperature, lake area and depth, total dissolved solids, Secchi depth) in 458 lakes among the 1665-lake data set. The lakes of this subset were distributed across Canada and covered the same broad range of physiographic, climatic and limnological conditions as the 1665-lake dataset (Fig. 1, Appendix S5).

Biodiversity patterns, community structure, and species distribution

The survey provided us with a unique database on the occurrence of 83 crustacean species (Patalas et al., 1994) in 1665 lakes distributed across Canada. To document biodiversity patterns, local species richness was estimated as the total number of crustacean species found in each lake, and averaged within each ecoprovince. Because sampling effort varied among ecoprovinces, we also calculated the first-order jackknife estimator of species richness, $\hat{S} = S_{obs} + r (n-1)/n$, where S_{obs} is the number of species observed in *n* lakes and *r* is the number of species present

2258.2

2338.0

5.1

9.4

1.0

864.4

-64.09

80.80

13.8

13.6

494.9

1873.2

-5.6

6.0

0.3

0.7

493.5

-99.75

54.80

11.4

101.2

1487.6

-22.1

-15.6

-18.8

135.0

-132.61

42.82

0.4

8.3

27

6

34

47

636.9

11.2

1879.3

-6.8

3.0

-1.8

0.7

467.4

-100.22

57.02

232

in only one lake, to estimate regional species richness within each ecoprovince, as recommended by Palmer (1990) and Arnott *et al.* (1998). We were able to calculate the two estimates of species richness for 35 of the 47 ecoprovinces. The 12 ecoprovinces, which had five or fewer than five sampled lakes, were excluded (Appendix S1). Community structure was established on information about the presence or absence of each species in each lake. Occurrence of crustacean species across Canada was illustrated by a rank-frequency diagram. We produced distribution maps of each species in ArcGIS using the KML format in ET GeoWizards extension of the Google Earth Web software.

Diversity-energy relationships

To test the species richness-energy theory, we evaluated the relationships between the estimates of species richness (the average local species richness and the Jackknife diversity index) and regional environmental descriptors at the scale of the ecoprovinces using data from the 1665 lakes and the 35 selected ecoprovinces. First, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was computed to identify and illustrate groups of correlated variables among the standardized regional environmental descriptors. The average local species richness and the Jackknife diversity index were added to the plot by passive ordination (Legendre & Legendre, 2012). Forward selection in multiple regression analysis was also used to determine which environmental variables were the best predictors of the species richness estimates in the 35 ecoprovinces. We then computed linear regressions between the species richness estimates and selected energy or water-energy related descriptors (mean daily solar radiation, effective growing degree days above 5 °C, mean daily air temperature over the entire year, annual potential evapotranspiration and mean duration of bright sunshine) and tested their significance. Finally, acknowledging the fact that the energy variables were collinear, we used forward selection in linear regression to show which energy-related variables contributed significantly to explain the variation of the species richness estimates.

To test the metabolic theory, we related the log-transformed estimates of species richness to the inverse of annual temperature (in Kelvin) multiplied by the Boltzmann constant, using linear regressions. Then, as suggested by Algar *et al.* (2007), we tested whether this relationship was best fitted by a linear or a curvilinear model using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC).

Relationships between regional and local environments and community structure

To test the hypothesis of multiple forcing of the crustacean community structure by regional and local environmental drivers (Pinel-Alloul, 1995; Pinel-Alloul *et al.*, 1995), we used the subset of 458 lakes for which local environmental descriptors were available. The regional factors were represented by climatic features of the ecoprovinces whereas the local environmental factors featured morphometric and water quality lake

variables. Both local and regional environmental variables were used to construct third-degree polynomial equations, for a total of forty-eight monomials. The quadratic and cubic monomials enabled us to model nonlinear relationships between the environmental descriptors and each species presence-absence variable (Legendre & Legendre, 2012). We performed a redundancy analysis (RDA) of the species occurrence matrix with forward selection of the significant monomials. The species data had previously been transformed using the Hellinger method (Legendre & Gallagher, 2001). We produced a RDA biplot of the crustacean species with the five most important environmental descriptors retained by forward selection. We then used variation partitioning to assess the relative contributions of the regional and local descriptors (Borcard et al., 1992; Peres-Neto et al., 2006). The statistical analyses were performed using software available in the VEGAN and PACKFOR packages in the R language (R Development Core Team, 2012).

RESULTS

Species richness and distribution patterns

Among the 83 crustacean species recorded in the 1665 lakes, the most diversified groups were the Calanoida (33 species) and the Cladocera (33 species), followed by the Cyclopoida (17 species) (Appendix S2). Only nine species were found in more than 25% of the lakes (Fig. 2). *Bosmina longirostris* was the most common species, found in almost half of the lakes. The other common species found in more than 25% of lakes were: *Holopedium gibberum*, *Diacyclops thomasi*, *Mesocyclops edax*, *Leptodiaptomus minutus*, *Daphnia mendotae*, *Cyclops scutifer scutifer*, *Daphnia longiremis*, and *Diaphanosoma leuchtenbergianum*. Two thirds of the species (54/83) were present in less than 5% of the sampled lakes.

The total number of crustacean species found in Canadian ecoprovinces varied from 7 to 44, with the median and mean values respectively of 29 and 27 species; the average local species richness per lake ranged from 3 to 10 species (median: 5; mean: 6) while the Jackknife index ranged from 8 to 52 species (median: 36; mean: 34) (Table 1). Species-rich lakes were typically located in the ecoprovinces of the Boreal Shield and Plains and in the Hudson-Erie and the Great Lakes-Saint Lawrence Plains, whereas the species-poor lakes were found in the northern and arctic ecoprovinces (Fig. 1). Distribution maps of each crustacean species across Canada are available in the KML format compatible with Google Earth Web software: (https://sites.google.com/site/canadianzooplankton/maps/distribution).

Regional environment and diversity-energy relationships

The first two axes of the PCA ordination accounted for 73% of the observed variance in regional environmental descriptors among the ecoprovinces (Fig. 3). The first axis (61%) showed strong positive correlations with air temperature, the energyand water-energy related descriptors, and an inverse correlation



Figure 2 Rank-frequency occurrences of the 83 pelagic crustacean species collected in the 1665 lakes across Canada.



Figure 3 PCA ordination plots of the regional environmental descriptors selected by forward selection and the estimates of regional species richness (Average local species richness, Jackknife index).

with latitude, reflecting the latitudinal gradient of decreasing temperature and solar irradiance. The Jackknife diversity index was strongly and positively associated with this axis. Higher regional species richness was observed in southern ecoprovinces located at lower latitude where mean global daily solar variation was the highest. The second axis (12%) represents the longitudinal and altitudinal gradients and was related to the average local species richness; it opposes species-poor lakes located at higher altitude in small ecoprovinces in western Canada to species-rich lakes located at low altitude in large ecoprovinces with higher duration of bright sunshine.

Forward selection in multiple regression modelling retained four statistically significant regional descriptors (Table 2). It showed that the single energy variable *Mean daily global solar radiation* accounted alone for 52% of the variation of regional species richness among ecoprovinces (Jackknife index) and that

Regional descriptors – Jackknife diversity index	R^2	<i>R</i> ² Cum	R^2_{adj} Cum	F	P-value
Mean daily global solar radiation (megajoules/m²/day)	0.52	0.52	0.51	36.09	< 0.001
Ecoprovince area (km ² 10 ⁴)	0.08	0.60	0.58	6.66	0.015
Effective growing degree days above 5 °C	0.12	0.72	0.69	12.84	0.001
Mean duration of bright sunshine (hours)	0.03	0.75	0.72	4.18	0.050

Table 3 Linear regression models between regional species richness (Jackknife diversity index) and selected energy-related regional descriptors and the area of ecoprovinces (n = 1665 lakes)

Regional descriptors – Jackknife diversity index	R^2_{adj}	P-value
Mean daily global solar radiation (megajoules/m²/day)	0.51	< 0.001
Annual potential evaporation**	0.48	< 0.001
Effective growing degree days above 5 °C	0.44	< 0.001
Mean annual air temperature (°C)*	0.41	< 0.001
Mean duration of bright sunshine (hours)	0.32	< 0.001
Ecoprovince area (km ² 10 ⁴)	-	0.28

*Over the entire year.

**Penman method.

two other energy-related variables (*Effective growing degree days above 5* °C, and *Mean duration of bright sunshine*) also contributed significantly to explain the spatial variation of regional species richness. The ecoprovince area was also included as a significant regional descriptor of crustacean species richness in multiple regression modelling; however, its contribution was weak as suggested by the lack of correlation detected with the PCA ordination. The adjusted R-square (R^2_{adj}) of the combined effect of these four variables on the Jackknife index was 0.72 (Table 2). In contrast, no energy variable was related to the variation of the average local species richness among ecoprovinces which was significantly explained by the latitude, altitude, and area of ecoprovinces ($R^2_{adj} = 0.40$) (Appendix S3A).

At the ecoprovince level, simple linear regression models computed between the Jackknife diversity index and selected environmental variables indicated significant positive correlations with the energy and water-energy descriptors measured in the ecoprovinces. Higher values of mean daily global solar radiation, annual potential evapotranspiration, effective growing degree days above 5 °C, mean annual air temperature, and mean duration of bright sunshine were all significantly associated with higher values of the Jackknife index of regional species richness (Table 3). However, there was no significant correlation with the area of ecoprovinces considered alone. In comparison, simple linear regression models between the average local species richness and the selected environmental variables were weak (Appendix S3B).

The relationship between the natural logarithm of the Jackknife diversity index in each ecoprovince and the inverse of ambient temperature (in Kelvin) corrected by the Boltzmann **Table 2** Forward selection of regional environmental descriptors in multiple regression analysis of regional species richness (Jackknife diversity index; n = 1665 lakes) R^2 : coefficient of multiple determination (unadjusted), R^2 Cum: cumulative coefficient of multiple determination; R^2_{adj} Cum: cumulative adjusted coefficient of multiple determination



Figure 4 Linear and quadratic regressions between the natural logarithm of estimates of regional species richness (Jackknife index) and the inverse of temperature (in Kelvin) corrected by the Boltzmann constant.

constant supported the first prediction of the metabolic theory (Fig. 4). Both the linear model ($y = 14.63-0.26^*x$; $R^2_{adj} = 0.46$; P-value: $4.06 \cdot 10^{-8}$, AIC = 18.13) and the quadratic model ($y = -165.91 + 8.09^*x - 0.096^*x^2$; $R^2_{adj} = 0.54$, P-value: $1.39 \cdot 10^{-6}$, AIC = 25.85) were highly significant but AIC, whose minimum indicates the best predictive model, showed that the linear model was best. However, the second prediction of the metabolic theory was not supported: the slope of the linear regression was -0.26, far out of the range of slopes (-0.55 to -0.75) predicted by the metabolic scaling law for species richness. When using the average local species richness, the relationships with the inverse of ambient temperature were very weak both for the linear ($R^2_{adj} = 0.13$; P-value: 0.04) (Appendix S4).

Local and regional control of crustacean community structure

Among the regional descriptors of the ecoprovinces and the local lake descriptors measured on the subset of 458 lakes, 32 monomials were retained as significant predictors of the crustacean community structure (Table 4). Those variables

Table 4 Forward selection in RDA model relating crustacean species community structure to polynomial terms of the regional and local environmental descriptors after a forward regression (n = 458 lakes; R^2_{adj} : 0.31, P-value = 0.008)

Regional and local descriptors	R^2	R ² _{adj} Cum	F	P-value
Mean daily global solar radiation 1	0.130	0.128	65.43	0.001
Mean duration of bright sunshine 1	0.042	0.169	22.09	0.001
Mean elevation 1	0.026	0.193	14.29	0.001
Mean annual vapour pressure 1	0.023	0.214	12.67	0.001
Total dissolved solids 1	0.012	0.224	6.60	0.001
July air temperature 2	0.008	0.231	4.57	0.001
July air temperature 1	0.007	0.236	4.16	0.001
July air temperature 3	0.008	0.243	4.66	0.001
Mean duration of bright sunshine 2	0.007	0.249	4.25	0.001
Mean daily global solar radiation 2	0.007	0.254	3.95	0.001
Mean annual air temperature 2	0.007	0.259	3.99	0.001
Mean daily global solar radiation 3	0.007	0.265	4.38	0.001
Secchi depth transparency 1	0.006	0.269	3.54	0.001
Mean annual vapour pressure 2	0.005	0.273	3.25	0.001
Mean annual air temperature 1	0.006	0.277	3.60	0.001
Maximum annual air temperature 3	0.004	0.280	2.59	0.001
Mean duration of bright sunshine 3	0.004	0.283	2.43	0.001
Mean annual vapour pressure 3	0.004	0.285	2.50	0.001
Mean elevation 2	0.004	0.287	2.36	0.001
Total annual precipitation 1	0.004	0.290	2.40	0.001
Annaul potential evapotranspiration 1	0.003	0.292	2.10	0.003
Total annual precipitation 3	0.004	0.294	2.27	0.002
Mean elevation 3	0.004	0.296	2.32	0.001
Annual potential evapotranspiration 3	0.003	0.298	1.98	0.002
Growing season length 2	0.004	0.300	2.22	0.001
Mean annual air temperature 1	0.003	0.301	1.92	0.003
Secchi depth 2	0.003	0.303	1.91	0.004
Lake depth 2	0.003	0.304	1.90	0.011
Lake depth 1	0.004	0.307	2.22	0.001
Lake depth 3	0.003	0.308	1.92	0.003
Growing season length 3	0.003	0.310	1.85	0.009
Effective growing degree day above	0.003	0.311	1.66	0.008
5 °C 1				

accounted together for 31% of the total variation in species assemblages. The most important regional descriptors were the mean daily global solar radiation followed by the mean duration of bright sunshine, mean elevation, mean annual vapour pressure, and mean annual air temperature. Some local descriptors of lake environments such as total dissolved solids, July air temperature, Secchi depth transparency and lake depth were also retained in the RDA model. The first five selected descriptors (4 regional and 1 local) were first-degree monomials, indicating predominance of linear effects. The RDA ordination of the species occurrences by the five most important descriptors (R^2_{adi} = 0.22) showed strong associations with species distributions across lakes (Fig. 5). The first canonical axis accounted for 14.3% of the total variation and was mainly driven by higher mean daily global solar radiation and duration of bright sunshine. The second axis was related to gradients of altitude and productivity (via total dissolved solids) and accounted only for 5.4% of the total variation. Western species such as *Leptodiap-tomus angustilobus* and *Heterocope septentrionalis* were associated with lakes located at higher altitude, and eastern species such as *Holopedium gibberum*, *Leptodiaptomus minutus* and *Tropocyclops prasinus mexicanus* to lakes located at lower elevation. *Mesocyclops edax, Bosmina longirostris* and *Diaphanosoma leuchenbergianum* were associated with lakes receiving the highest solar radiation, and *Skistodiaptomus oregonensis* and *Diacyclops thomasi* to the highest duration of sunshine. Variation partitioning between significant regional and local environmental variables indicated that 24% of the total variation in community structure was due to regional factors, and only 2% to local factors (Appendix S6).

DISCUSSION

In total, our records indicate that at least 83 crustacean species inhabit the pelagic zone of Canadian lakes. The variation in species richness of crustaceans in lakes across Canadian ecoprovinces is comparable to the range observed in other largescale and multiple-year surveys in European and American lakes (Arnott *et al.*, 1998; Hessen *et al.*, 2006, 2007; Shurin *et al.*, 2007).

Our study provided the first model of continental-scale distribution patterns of freshwater crustacean species in relation to energy-related gradients in Canadian ecoprovinces. The richness-energy theory is a fundamental process explaining diversity patterns of freshwater zooplankton at the continental scale of Canada. Our results are consistent with monotonically increasing species-energy relationships found in macro-scale studies of fish (Kerr & Currie, 1999) and terrestrial organisms (Hawkins et al., 2003a; Evans et al., 2005). Crustacean species richness per ecoprovince, estimated by the Jackknife diversity index is best predicted by global solar radiation, meaning that in ecoprovinces with higher energy inputs, the lakes support globally more crustacean species. The identification of a pure energy variable (mean daily global solar radiation) as the first predictor of diversity pattern rather than a water-energy (e.g., annual potential evapotranspiration) or a water-only variable (e.g., total annual precipitation) reflects the obvious fact that water availability is not a limiting factor in lake ecosystems. Our results also pointed to the importance of other energy-related variables, mainly the effective growing degree days above 5 °C and the mean duration of bright sunshine, because they are closely correlated with the global solar radiation variable. In contrast, average local species richness in lakes of Canadian ecoprovinces does not vary with energy-related variables as observed by Hessen et al. (2007) at the continental scale of Norway. This means that while solar radiation appears to control regional diversity of freshwater zooplankton at large continental scale, it does not control local species richness within a lake. At local scale, zooplankton species richness may to be controlled both by abiotic and biotic processes (Pinel-Alloul, 1995; Hessen et al., 2006; Pinel-Alloul & Ghadouani, 2007).



Figure 5 Redundancy analysis (RDA) between the matrix of occurrences of crustacean species in the 458 lakes and the five most important environmental descriptors selected by forward selection at regional and local scales.

Our study gave partial support for the metabolic ecological theory for explaining diversity patterns of freshwater crustaceans in Canada. We did find a linear significant relationship $(R^2_{adj} = 0.46)$ between the log-transformed Jackknife index and the inverse transformation of annual air temperature, yet the slope (-0.26) was less steep than predicted by the theory (between -0.55 and -0.75). In comparison, the linear relationship with the average local species richness was weak $(R^2_{adj} =$ 0.10). In Norwegian lakes, the metabolic theory explained only 21% of the variation in zooplankton local species richness (Hessen et al., 2007), but the slope (-0.78) was in the expected range. Several reasons may account for these differences: (i) our broad-scale study covered a wider air temperature gradient (29° vs 12°), (ii) we used regional species richness per ecoprovince in our Canadian survey whereas local species richness in each lake was used in the Norwegian survey, (iii) the weaker relationships with the local species richness in Norwegian and Canadian lakes suggest that there are other important factors influencing species richness at local scale than at regional scale, (iv) there is still controversy over the value of the slope of the relationship of the metabolic theory, which may vary close to multiples of 0.25. In our large-scale study, we suggested that lake water stratification may buffer the effect of ambient air temperature on metabolism of crustacean zooplankton that can migrate in deeper cold waters. Furthermore, mean daily air temperature over the entire year used as the explanatory variable in our model does not directly correspond to the realized temperature experienced by crustacean species during their life cycle.

Our study of crustaceans in Canadian lakes gave additional support to the spatial heterogeneity theory and the multiple forces hypothesis which stated that abiotic environmental gradients will be the most important drivers of spatial variation in community structure at large scale (Pinel-Alloul, 1995; Pinel-Alloul et al., 1995; Shurin et al., 2000; Pinel-Alloul & Ghadouani, 2007). Indeed, latitudinal gradients in global solar radiation and ambient air temperature were the most important drivers of biodiversity patterns of freshwater crustaceans across Canada. Lakes situated in northern and arctic ecoprovinces experienced almost no light and extremely low temperatures during winter, and with such limiting factors they showed the lowest crustacean species richness. Crustaceans need to develop special physiological or behavioural responses to survive in this kind of environment. In lakes located in southern ecoprovinces, the higher inputs of energy by solar radiation can sustain primary productivity via efficient photosynthesis and carbon fixation of producers. Higher solar radiation can also be responsible for an increased euphotic zone depth. In consequence, a positive bottom-up control of primary producers by solar radiation can promote more abundant consumer populations, and therefore a reduction of the extinction rate which leads to increased species richness (Hessen et al., 2007). Moreover, areas receiving higher energy inputs contain higher abundances of relatively rare resources that are exploited by niche position specialists. This should allow those specialists to maintain larger viable populations, thus increasing species richness. In our study, regional climate was the most important predictor of both crustacean diversity and community structure, while local factors appear to be poor predictors of the community structure. The weak signal of local predictors may probably be caused by the low number of local variables available in our survey, which reduced our power to detect strong effects at local scale. As in other large-scale studies of zooplankton-environment linkages (Pinel-Alloul *et al.*, 1995; Hessen *et al.*, 2007), more than half of the total variance in crustacean community structure remains unexplained.

As with most large-scale surveys, there are caveats in our study which might limit our conclusions. Sampling did not cover the whole summer growing season and the survey extended through more than 90 years. However, we are confident that our data provide an accurate evaluation of crustacean species richness patterns in Canada: a recent study conducted at spatial and temporal scales on an extensive data set of zooplankton showed that species richness evaluated on a daily basis was linearly related to mean annual species richness (Shurin et al., 2007). Pelagic zooplankton is a key component of food webs in large and deep lakes. However, because littoral crustaceans can make a major contribution to zooplankton species richness in small lakes (Walseng et al., 2006), it is important to note that our study does not assess species richness of crustaceans in littoral habitats of Canadian lakes. Our modelling effort for assessing regional and local control of crustacean assemblages in the subset of 458 lakes was also limited by the unavailability of local descriptors beyond a few morphometric and trophic variables. Other unmeasured descriptors such as nutrients, chlorophyll biomass and fish predation may have important effects on crustacean community structure at local scale (Hessen et al., 2006, 2007).

In the coming years, scientists may well discover that zooplankton species distribution and community structure are changing with climate warming and land use, perhaps at a variety of scales from local lakes to large ecoprovinces. Efforts to compile and modernize existing but disparate records will serve a key role in understanding changes inside lakes. Meanwhile, modern techniques allow the assembly and sharing of such databases for future researchers. In this setting, we created an extensive and modern spatial lake database, addressed it with models built from wide-area environmental data, and tested basic ecological theories at large scales. We hope that our findings on continental-scale distribution of zooplankton can serve as a baseline for understanding potential future departures from current and recent conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to researchers, professionals and technicians who carried out the sampling survey, and literature review at the Freshwater Institute in Winnipeg. We also express our thanks to Danielle Defaye at the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris who checked the nomenclature of the 83 crustacean species. A.A. carried out the study as a Master 2 student within the Groupe de Recherche Interuniversitaire en Limnologie et Environnement Aquatique at the Université de Montréal, which Biodiversity patterns of crustacean zooplankton in Canada

provided financial support. The study was supported by Discovery grants from the National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) to B.P.A., P.L and J.C.

REFERENCES

- Algar, A.C., Kerr, J.T. & Currie, D.J. (2007) A test of Metabolic Theory as the mechanism underlying broad-scale speciesrichness gradients. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16, 170– 178.
- Allen, A.P., Brown, J.H. & Gillooly, J.F. (2002) Global biodiversity, biochemical kinetics, and the energetic-equivalence rule. *Science*, **297**, 1545–1548.
- Anderson, M.J., Crist, T.O., Chase, J.M., Vellend, M., Inouye, B.D., Freestone, A.L., Sanders, N.J., Cornell, H.V., Comita, L.S., Davies, K.F., Harrison, S.P., Kraft, N.J.B., Stegen, J.C. & Swenson, N.G. (2011) Navigating the multiple meanings of β diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 19–28.
- Arnott, S.E., Magnuson, J.J. & Yan, N.D. (1998) Crustacean zooplankton species richness: single- and multiple-year estimates. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 55, 1573– 1582.
- Badgley, C. & Fox, D.L. (2000) Ecological biogeography of North American mammals: species density and ecological structure in relation to environmental gradients. *Journal of Biogeography*, 27, 1437–1467.
- Blach-Overgaard, A., Svenning, J.-C., Dransfield, J., Greve, M. & Balslev, H. (2010) Determinants of palm species distributions across Africa: the relative roles of climate, non-climatic environmental factors, and spatial constraints. *Ecography*, **33**, 380– 391.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P. & Drapeau, P. (1992) Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. *Ecology*, **73**, 1045–1055.
- Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M. & West, G.B. (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85, 1771–1789.
- Chase, J.M. & Ryberg, W.A. (2004) Connectivity, scaledependence, and the productivity–diversity relationship. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 676–683.
- Currie, D.J., Mittelbach, G.G., Cornell, H.V., Field, R., Guégan, J.-F., Hawkins, B.A., Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E. & Turner, J.R.G. (2004) Predictions and tests of climate-based hypotheses of borad-scale variation in taxonomic richness. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 1121–1134.
- De Castro, F. & Gaedke, U. (2008) The metabolism of lake plankton does not support the metabolic theory of ecology. *Oikos*, **117**, 1218–1226.
- Environment Canada (1986) *Climate Atlas climatique Canada: Map Series 2 -* Precipitation. Atmospheric environment Service, Ottawa, Ont.
- ESRI (Environmental Systems Resource Institute) (2009) *ArcMap 9.2. ESRI, Redlands*, California. Available at: http://forums.esri.com (accessed July 2010).

- Evans, K.L., Warren, P.H. & Gaston, K.J. (2005) Species-energy relationships at the macroecological scale: a review of the mechanisms. *Biological Reviews*, **80**, 1–25.
- Fierer, N. (2008) Microbial biogeography: patterns in microbial diversity across space and time. *Accessing uncultivated micro-organisms: from the environment to organisms and genomes and back* (ed. by K. Zengler), pp. 95–115. ASM Press, Washington DC.
- Fontaneto, D., Ficetola, G.F., Ambrosini, R. & Ricci, C. (2006) Patterns of diversity in microscopic animals: are they comparable to those in protists or in larger animals. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **15**, 153–162.
- Fontaneto, D. (ed.) (2011) *Biogeography of microscopic organisms. Is everything small everywhere?* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Gaston, K.J. (2000) Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature*, **405**, 220–227.
- Hawkins, B.A., Field, R., Cornell, H.V., Currie, D.J., Guégan, J.F., Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T., Mittelback, G.G., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E.M., Porter, E.E. & Turner, J.R.G. (2003a) Energy, water, and broad-scale geographic patterns of species richness. *Ecology*, 84, 3105–3117.
- Hawkins, B.A., Porter, E.E. & Diniz-Filho, J.A.F. (2003b) Productivity and history as predictors of the latitudinal diversity gradient of terrestrial birds. *Ecology*, **84**, 1608–1623.
- Hawkins, B.A., Albuquerque, F., Araùjo, M.B. *et al.* (2007a) A global evaluation of metabolic theory as an explanation for terrestrial species richness gradients. *Ecology*, **88**, 1877–1888.
- Hawkins, B.A., Diniz-Filho, A.F., Bini, L.M., Araùjo, M.B., Field,
 R., Hortal, J., Kerr, J.T., Rahbek, C., Rodriguez, M.A. & Sanders, N.J. (2007b) Metabolic theory and diversity gradients: where do we go from here? *Ecology*, 88, 1898–1902.
- Hessen, D.O., Faafeng, B.A., Smith, V.H., Bakkestuen, V. & Walseng, B. (2006) Extrinsic and intrinsic controls of zoo-plankton diversity in lakes. *Ecology*, **87**, 433–443.
- Hessen, D.O., Bakkestuen, V. & Walseng, B. (2007) Energy input and zooplankton species richness. *Ecography*, **30**, 749–758.
- Jiménez, I., Distler, T. & Jørgensen, P.M. (2009) Estimated plant richness pattern across northwest South America provides similar support for the species-energy and spatial heterogeneity hypotheses. *Ecography*, **32**, 433–448.
- Kerr, J.T. & Currie, D.J. (1999) The relative importance of evolutionary and environmental controls on broad-scale patterns of species richness in North America. *Ecoscience*, **6**, 329–337.
- Legendre, P. & Gallagher, E.D. (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia*, **129**, 271–280.
- Legendre, P. & Legendre, L. (2012) *Numerical ecology*. 3rd english edition. Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam.
- Legendre, P., Borcard, D. & Peres-Neto, P.R. (2005) Analyzing beta diversity: partitioning the spatial variation of community composition data. *Ecological Monographs*, **75**, 435–450.
- Lomolino, M.V., Riddle, B.R., Whittaker, R.J. & Brown, J.H. (2010) *Biogeography*, Fourth edn Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers, Sunderland, MA.

- Marshall, I. & Schut, P. (1999) *A national ecological framework for Canada*. Agriculture and Agrifood Canada. Available at: http://sis.agr.gc.ca/cansis/nsdb/ecostrat/intro.html (accessed 27 October 2008).
- Martiny, J.B.H., Bohannan, B.J.M., Brown, J.H., Colwell, R.K., Fuhrman, J.A., Green, J.L., Horner-Devine, M.C., Kane, M., Krumins, J.A., Kuske, C.R., Morin, P.J., Naeem, S., Øvreås, L., Reysenbach, A.-L., Smith, V.H. & Staley, J.T. (2006) Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. *Nature Review Microbiology*, **4**, 102–112.
- McMahon, G., Wiken, E.B. & Gauthier, D.A. (2004) Toward a scientifically rigorous basis for developing mapped ecological regions. *Environmental Management*, **34**, Suppl. 1, S111–S124.
- Melo, A.S., Rangel, T.F.L.V.B. & Diniz-Filho, A.F. (2009) Environmental drivers of beta-diversity patterns in New-World birds and mammals. *Ecography*, **32**, 226–236.
- Palmer, M.W. (1990) The estimation of species richness by extrapolation. *Ecology*, **71**, 1195–1198.
- Patalas, K. (1990) Diversity of the zooplankton communities in Canadian lakes as a function of climate. *Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie*, **24**, 360–368.
- Patalas, K., Patalas, J. & Salki, A. (1994) Planktonic crustaceans in lakes of Canada (Distribution of Species, Bibliography). *Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **1954**.
- Peres-Neto, P.R., Legendre, P., Dray, S. & Borcard, D. (2006) Variation partitioning of species data matrices: estimation and comparison of fractions. *Ecology*, **87**, 2614–2625.
- Phillips, D. (1990) *The Climates of Canada*. Canadian Government Publishing, Centre, Supply and Services Canada, Catalogue. No. EN 56-1/1990E), 176 pp.
- Pinel-Alloul, B. (1995) Spatial heterogeneity as a multiscale characteristic of zooplankton community. *Hydrobiologia*, 300/ 301, 17–42.
- Pinel-Alloul, B. & Ghadouani, A. (2007) Spatial heterogeneity of planktonic microorganisms in aquatic systems: multiscale patterns and processes. Chapter 8. *The importance of spatial scale on the analysis of patterns and processes in microbial communities* (ed. by R.B. Franklin and A.L. Mills), pp. 203–309. Kluwer Publishers, Springer Netherlands.
- Pinel-Alloul, B., Niyonsenga, T. & Legendre, P. (1995) Spatial and environmental components of freshwater zooplankton structure. *Ecoscience*, **2**, 1–19.
- Ptacnik, R., Andersen, T., Brettum, P., Lepistö, L. & Willén, E. (2010) Regional species pools control community saturation in lake phytoplankton. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B -Biological Sciences*, 277, 3755–3764.
- R Development Core Team (2012) R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rombouts, I., Beaugrand, G., Ibahez, F., Gasparini, S., Chiba, S. & Legendre, L. (2009) Global latitudinal variations in marine copepod diversity and environmental factors. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological sciences*, **276**, 3053–3062.
- Shurin, J.B., Havel, J.E., Leibold, M.A. & Pinel-Alloul, B. (2000) Local and regional zooplankton species richness: a scaleindependent test for saturation. *Ecology*, **81**, 3062–3073.

- Shurin, J.B., Arnott, S.E., Hillebrand, H., Longmuir, A., Pinel-Alloul, B., Winder, M. & Yan, M.D. (2007) Diversity-stability relationships varies with latitude in zooplankton. *Ecology Letters*, 10, 1–8.
- Stomp, M., Huisman, J., Mittelbach, G.G., Lichman, E. & Klausmeier, C.A. (2011) Large-scale biodiversity patterns in freshwater phytoplankton. *Ecology*, **92**, 2096–2107.
- Tuomisto, H. & Ruokolainen, K. (2006) Analyzing or explaining beta diversity? Understanding the targets of different methods of analysis. *Ecology*, **87**, 2697–2708.
- Vyverman, W., Vierleyen, E., Sabbe, K., Vanhoutte, K., Sterken, M., Hodgson, D.A., Mann, G.G., Juggins, S., Van de Vijver, B., Jones, V., Flower, R., Roberts, D., Chepurnov, V.A., Kilroy, C., Vanormelingen, P. & De Wever, A. (2007) Historical processes constrain patterns in global diatom diversity. *Ecology*, 88, 1924–1931.
- Walseng, B., Hessen, D.O., Halvorsen, G. & Schartau, A.K. (2006) Major contribution from littoral crustaceans to zooplankton species richness in lakes. *Limnology & Oceanography*, **51**, 2600–2606.
- Whittaker, R.J., Willis, K.J. & Field, R. (2001) Scale and species richness: towards a general, hierarchical theory of species diversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28, 453–470.
- Willig, M.R. & Bloch, C.P. (2006) Latitudinal gradients of species richness: a test of the geographic area hypothesis at two ecological scales. *Oikos*, **112**, 163–173.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.

Appendix S1 Estimates of regional species richness (Average local species richness, Jackknife diversity index, total number of species) and number of lakes in each of the 47 ecoprovinces located in the 15 ecozones of Canada (total numbers: n = 1665 lakes; n = 83 species). Data not calculated for 12 ecoprovinces with total number of species ≤ 10 or total number of lakes ≤ 5 . **Appendix S2** List of crustacean species recorded in the field and literature surveys based on GIS-validated lakes.

Appendix S3 (A) Forward selection of regional environmental descriptors in multiple regression. (B) Simple linear regression

analyses of the Average local species richness in each ecoprovince (n = 1665 lakes); the adjusted R^2 is shown when the regression coeficient was significant ($\alpha = 0.05$)

Appendix S4 Linear and quadratic regressions between the natural logarithms of estimates of regional species richness (average local species richness) and the inverse of temperature (in Kelvin) corrected by the Boltzmann constant.

Appendix S5 Minimum, maximum, median and mean values of the local and regional environmental descriptors within ecoprovinces across Canada in the subset of 458 lakes.

Appendix S6 Variation partitioning of the total explained variation (represented by the outside rectangle) of the crustacean community structure between regional (left box, [a + b]) and local (right box, [b + c]) and environmental descriptors.

BIOSKETCH

B.P.A., P.L and J.C. are research scientists in the Group for Interuniversity Research in Limnology and aquatic environment (GRIL), a major Canadian research centre in freshwater ecology. They co-supervised the master student (A.A). B.P.A research aims at studying patterns and processes of biodiversity and community structure of freshwater zooplankton at multiple scales; she developed the general research questions and hypotheses and led the writing. P.L. develops quantitative methods of spatial analysis in Numerical Ecology; he supervised the statistical analyses and contributed to ideas and writing. J.C. uses GIS applications to study patterns and processes at large spatial scales in the landscape; he supervised the GIS analysis and mapping, and contributed to ideas and writing. K.P and A.S. provided the database on crustacean species occurrences. A.A. conducted the day-to-day work, validated the lake-zooplankton data, performed GIS and statistical analyses, wrote the initial draft of the article and participated in ideas and final writing and revisions. His contribution was crucial to the completion of the study and he should be considered as the first author of the paper.

Editor: Gary Mittelbach

Appendices and Supporting Information

Appendix S1 – Estimates of regional species richness (Average local species richness, Jackknife diversity index, total number of crustacean species) and number of lakes in each of the 47 ecoprovinces located in the 15 ecozones of Canada (total numbers: n = 1665 lakes; n = 83 species). Data not calculated for 12 ecoprovinces with total number of species ≤ 10 or total number of lakes ≤ 5 .

Ecozone	Ecoprovince	Average local species richness	Jackknife diversity index	Total number of species	Number of lakes
Arctic Cordillera	Northern Arctic Cordillera	4	8	7	6
	Southern Arctic Cordillera	-	-	5	3
Atlantic Maritime	Appalachian-Acadian Highlands	8	27	22	10
	Fundy Uplands	8	39	34	74
Boreal Cordillera	Northern Boreal Cordillera	5	36	29	62
	Southern Boreal Cordillera	3	32	24	32
	Wrangel Mountains	_	_	7	3
Boreal Plains	Central Boreal Plains	7	46	41	102
	Eastern Boreal Plains	9	45	36	20
Boreal Shield	Eastern Boreal Shield	6	22	19	26
	Lake of the Woods	7	35	31	70
	Mid-Boreal Shield	9	51	43	126
	Newfouldland	3	22	19	31
	Southern Boreal Shield	6	48	44	326
	Western Boreal Shield	10	48	43	127
Columbia Montane	Columbia Montane Cordillera	4	38	32	42
Hudson Plains	Hudson Bay Coastal Plains	_	_	5	2
	Hudson-James Lowlands	7	30	22	8
Mixedwood Plains	Great Lakes-St.Lawrence	4	47	44	21
	Huron-Erie Plains	6	52	39	20
Montane Cordillera	Central Montane Cordillera	4	46	34	25
	Northern Montane Cordillera	5	32	25	18
	Southern Montane Cordillera	5	45	37	46
Northern Arctic	Baffin Uplands	3	10	8	9
	Boothia-Foxe Shield	4	29	20	39
	Ellesmere Basin	4	10	8	6
	Foxe-Boothia Lowlands	4	18	14	9
	Parry Channel Plateaux	_	_	5	4
	Sverdrup Islands	_	_	2	1
	Victoria Lowlands	4	21	19	44
Pacific Maritime	Georgia Depression	10	27	21	9
	Northern Coastal Mountains	_	_	3	2
	Southern Coastal Mountains	6	43	33	41
Prairies	Central Grassland	4	44	32	21
	Eastern Prairies	_	_	10	3
	Parkland Prairies	5	43	35	66
Southern Arctic	Amundsen Lowlands	6	36	29	44

	Keewatin Lowlands	5	29	24	28
Southern Arctic	Ungava-Belcher	-	_	3	2
Taiga Cordillera	Mackenzie-Selwyn Mountains	_	_	6	5
	Ogilvie Mountains	_	_	10	3
Taiga Plains	Great Bear Lowlands	5	36	29	36
	Mackenzie Foothills	4	17	13	6
Taiga Shield	Eastern Taiga Shield	7	27	24	38
	Labrador Uplands	_	_	12	4
	Western Taiga Shield	8	42	34	44
	Whale River Lowland	-	_	6	1

Appendix S2 – List of crustacean species recorded in the field and literature surveys based on the GIS-validated 1665 lakes.

Crustacean species	Lakes (1665)	Ecozones (15)	Écoprovinces (47)	Canadian provinces (15)
Acanthocyclops capillatus (G.O. Sars, 1863)	40	6	13	8
Acanthocyclops vernalis (Fischer, 1853)	394	13	33	15
Acanthodiaptomus denticornis (Wierzejski, 1887)	19	7	10	5
Aglaodiaptomus clavipes (Schacht, 1897)	4	2	2	1
Aglaodiaptomus forbesi Light, 1938	4	2	2	2
Aglaodiaptomus leptopus (Forbes, 1882)	47	7	16	7
Agiaoaiaptomus spatulocrenatus (Pearse, 1906)	27	4	1	4
Arctoalaptomus (Knabaoalaptomus) bacultifer (Koelbel, 1885) Bosming (Bosming) longirostris (O.F. Müller, 1776)	1 700	1 15	1	1 15
Cariodanhnia affinis Lillieborg 1000	2	2	2	2
Ceriodaphnia lacustris Birge, 1893	144	2	16	11
Ceriodaphnia auadrangula O.F. Müller, 1785	96	9	18	9
Ceriodaphnia reticulata (Jurine, 1820)	30	5	10	6
Chydorus sphaericus (O F Müller 1785)	406	13	31	14
Cyclons abyssorum G.O. Sars, 1863	3	1	1	1
Cyclops scutifer scutifer G.O. Sars, 1863	432	14	33	14
Cyclops vicinus Ulianine, 1875	3	1	1	1
Daphnia pulex Leydig, 1860	234	11	26	11
Daphnia similis Claus, 1876	17	3	4	3
Daphnia ambigua Scourfield, 1947	59	7	12	6
Daphnia catawba Coker, 1926	28	4	6	4
Daphnia dubia Herick, 1883	71	4	9	5
Daphnia galeata Sars, 1864	17	3	3	3
Daphnia longiremis G. O. Sars, 1862	426	13	34	14
Daphnia longispina (hyalina) f. ceresiana Burckhard 1899	3	1	3	1
Daphnia longispina (hyalina) f. microcephela Ekman 1904	27	8	13	5
Daphnia magna Straus, 1820	18	7	9	6
Daphnia mendotae Birge, 1918	433	12	24	11
Daphnia middendorffiana Fischer, 1851	117	10	20	10
Daphnia parvula Fordyce, 1901	28	5	9	5
Daphnia pulicaria Forbes, 1893	110	9	20	9
Daphnia retrocurva Forbes, 1882	324	8	16	10
Daphnia rosea G.O. Sars, 1862	52	7	12	7
Daphnia thorata Forbes, 1893	14	2	6	1
Diacyclops nanus nanus (G.O. Sars, 1863)	1	1	1	1
Diacyclops thomasi (Forbes, 1882)	599	12	28	10
Diaphanosoma brachyurum (Liévin, 1848)	222	8	19	10
Diaphanosoma leuchtenbergianum Fischer, 1850	425	8	21	11
Epischura (Epischura) lacustris Forbes, 1882	385	9	20	10

Epischura (Epischura) nevadensis Lilljeborg, 1889	79	9	14	6
Epischura (Epischura) nordenskioeldi Lilljeborg, 1889	23	2	2	2
Eubosmina (Eubosmina) coregoni Baird, 1857	2	2	2	1
Eubosmina (Eubosmina) longispina (Leydig, 1860)	123	11	21	14
Eubosmina (Neobosmina) tubicen (Brehm, 1953)	73	4	5	5
Eucyclops agilis (Koch, 1838)	38	8	17	12
Eucyclops elegans (Herrick, 1884)	24	8	11	7
Eucyclops serrulatus (Fischer, 1851)	31	7	10	6
Eurytemora affinis (Poppe, 1880)	3	1	2	1
Eurytemora canadensis Marsh, 1920	12	2	4	3
Eurytemora composita Keiser, 1929	2	2	2	1
Hesperodiaptomus arcticus (Marsh, 1920)	19	5	10	6
Hesperodiaptomus eiseni (Lilljeborg, 1889)	5	3	3	4
Hesperodiaptomus franciscanus (Lilljeborg, 1889)	5	2	2	2
Hesperodiaptomus kenai Wilson, 1953	16	2	4	1
Hesperodiaptomus nevadensis (Light, 1938)	16	3	4	4
Hesperodiaptomus wilsonae (Reed, 1958)	5	3	4	2
Heterocope septentrionalis Juday & Muttkowski, 1915	105	10	16	6
Holopedium gibberum Zaddach, 1855	681	14	32	15
Leptodiaptomus angustilobus (G.O. Sars, 1898)	132	9	16	8
Leptodiaptomus ashlandi (Marsh, 1893)	113	8	17	9
Leptodiaptomus connexus (Light, 1938)	5	2	3	3
Leptodiaptomus minutus (Lilljeborg, 1889)	449	9	21	12
Leptodiaptomus nudus (Lilljeborg, 1889)	3	3	3	2
Leptodiaptomus sicilis (Forbes, 1882)	263	12	25	12
Leptodiaptomus siciloides (Lilljeborg, 1889)	54	5	10	6
Leptodiaptomus tyrrelli (Poppe, 1888)	51	3	9	3
Leptodora kindtii (Focke, 1844)	332	13	30	13
Limnocalanus johanseni Marsh, 1920	2	1	1	1
Limnocalanus macrurus G.O. Sars, 1863	174	10	23	10
Macrocyclops albidus (Jurine, 1820)	51	10	17	9
Megacyclops magnus (Marsh, 1920)	8	3	7	4
Mesocyclops americanus Dussart, 1985	33	7	9	6
Mesocyclops edax (S.A. Forbes, 1891)	478	7	18	9
Moina hutchinsoni Brehm, 1937	9	4	5	2
Ophryoxus gracilis G. O. Sars, 1861	19	4	8	6
Orthocyclops modestus (Herrick, 1883)	23	6	10	6
Polyphemus pediculus (Linnaeus, 1761)	133	11	21	12
Senecella calanoides Juday, 1923	75	7	11	9
Sida crystallina (O.F. Müller, 1776)	44	9	13	7
Skistodiaptomus oregonensis (Lilljeborg, 1889)	410	12	23	10
Skistodiaptomus pygmaeus (Pearse, 1906)	20	1	1	2
Skistodiaptomus reighardi (Marsh, 1895)	3	1	1	1
Tropocyclops prasinus mexicanus (Kiefer, 1938)	281	6	13	8

Appendix S3 – (A) Forward selection of regional environmental descriptors in multiple regressions. (B) Simple linear regression analyses of the average local species richness in each ecoprovince (n = 1665 lakes); the adjusted R^2 is shown when the regression coefficient was significant ($\alpha = 0.05$).

A

-

Regional descriptors – Average local species richness	R^2	<i>R</i> ² Cum	R^{2}_{adj} Cum	F	<i>p</i> -value
Latitude	0.22	0.22	0.20	9.47	0.003
Mean elevation (m)	0.15	0.37	0.33	7.57	0.011
Ecoprovince area (km ² 10 ⁴)	0.08	0.45	0.40	4.73	0.041

B

Regional descriptors – Average local species richness	R^{2}_{adj}	<i>p</i> -value
Mean daily global solar radiation (megajoules/m ² /day)	0.14	0.015
Annual potential evapotranspiration*	_	0.10
Effective growing degree days above 5°C	-	0.07
Mean annual air temperature (°C)**	0.09	0.04
Mean duration of bright sunshine (hours)	_	0.20
Ecoprovince area (km ² 10 ⁴)	_	0.10

* Penman method

****** Over the entire year

Appendix S4 – Linear and quadratic regressions between the natural logarithms of estimates of regional species richness (average local species richness) and the inverse of temperature (in Kelvin) corrected by the Boltzmann constant.



1/kT

	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Mean
Local variables				
July air temperature (°C)	10.0	19.5	17.2	16.6
Lake surface (km ²)	0.0	19600.0	2.2	82.7
Lake depth (m)	0.6	283.0	10.5	18.1
Total dissolved solids (mg/L ⁻¹)	3.7	9999.0	69.0	176.6
Secchi depth (m)	0.1	11.5	3.0	3.5
Longitude	-139.38	-61.27	-100.21	-99.14
Latitude	44.03	66.14	50.80	52.41
Regional variables				
Growing season length (day)	0.0	274.0	173.0	1698.0
Growing degree days above 10°C	0.0	1185.3	601.5	575.4
Effective growing degree days above 5°C	0.0	2198.4	1343.0	1320.1
Mean elevation (m)	8.0	1809.8	379.3	542.3
Total annual precipitation (mm)	0.0	2674.4	536.4	682.5
Mean daily global solar radiation (megajoules/m ² /day)	9.3	13.7	12.4	12.00
Mean duration of bright sunshine (hrs)	1486.3	2335.3	1915.6	1964.8
Maximum annual air temperature (°C)*	-5.0	14.2	7.3	6.9
Mean annual air temperature (°C)*	-9.7	9.9	1.4	1.3
Mean annual vapour pressure	0.6	1.0	0.7	0.7
Annual potential evapotranspiration**	0.0	845.4	562.0	563.3

Appendix S5 – Minimum, maximum, median and mean values of the local and regional environmental descriptors within ecoprovinces across Canada in the subset of 458 lakes.

* Over the entire year

****** Penman method

Appendix S6 – Variation partitioning of the total explained variation (represented by the outside rectangle) of the crustacean community structure between regional (left-hand box, [a + b]) and local (right-hand box, [b + c]) environmental descriptors.

